

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,201	10/17/2000	Wail Refai	8194-458/P12483	2157
20792 75	590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER :	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			WILSON, ROBERT W	
PO BOX 37428	<u>-</u>			D. D. D. D. D. C. C. D. D. D. C. C. D. D. C. C. D. C.
RALEIGH, NO	C 27627		ART UNIT PAPER NUME	
			2661	4
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)			
•		/690,201	REFAI ET AL.			
Office Action Sur	nmary	aminer	Art Unit			
		bert W Wilson	2661			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing down the period for reply specified above is leful to period for reply is specified above, the same of the period for reply is specified above, the same of the period for reply is specified above, the same of the period for reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (1)	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). ate of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply within he maximum statutory period will app period for reply will, by statute, cause three months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply be timenthe statutory minimum of thirty (30) day oly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 17 Octob	er 2000.	•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This acti		•			
3)☐ Since this application is i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allo 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-13,15-19,</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>6,14,20,26,27 a</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subjection Papers 9) ☑ The specification is object	is/are withdrawn frowed. 21-25,28,29 and 31-34 is/and 35 is/are objected to. ect to restriction and/or elected to by the Examiner.	are rejected. ction requirement.				
	hat any objection to the draw t(s) including the correction is	ing(s) be held in abeyance. Se s required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of3. Copies of the certianapplication from th	None of: the priority documents had the priority documents had fied copies of the priority do e International Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received in Applicat locuments have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89: Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ring Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/690,201

Art Unit: 2661

Detailed Action

1.0 The application of Refai et. al. entitled "METHODS, WIRELESS TERMINALS, AND SYSTEMS FOR ACQUIRING SERVICE USING STORED TDMA DIGITAL CONTROL CHANNEL INFORMATION" which was filed on 10/17/2000 without priority. Claims 1-35 are pending.

Drawings

2.0 The drawings were reviewed by the draftsperson and approved as formal.

Specification

3.0 The specification is objected because the status of applications referred on Pg 10 lines 28-32 needs to be updated. Correction of the following is required: status of pending referenced application needs to be updated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4.0 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 9-13, 15-19, 21-25, 28-29, 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Raith (U.S. Patent No.: 5,768,267).

Referring to Claims 1 & 30, Raith (U.S. Patent No.: 5,768,267) teaches the following limitations: TDMA (col. 1 lines 5-67); method & wireless terminal (col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21); camping on & means for camping on (col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21); request and means for request (mobile station activated or received a request per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21); storing control channel info & means for storing control channel info (col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21); performing the requested operation to acquire a service as well as means (col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Raith does not expressly call for: storing but teaches receiving neighbor list as well as PDCH assignment per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21.

. Application/Control Number: 09/690,201

Art Unit: 2661

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the mobile stores the neighbor lists or PDCH assignment in order for the invention to work.

In Addition:

Regarding Claims 2 & 31, control channel info # (upon activation an assigned DCCH with a # per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21).

Regarding Claims 3 & 32, neighbor channel info # (neighbor lists which inherently contain channel # per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21).

Regarding Claims 4 & 33, receive a request (Activated or request per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21).

Regarding Claims 5 & 34, control channel info stored (It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the control information is stored in order for the invention to work per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Regarding Claim 9, scanning (The examiner takes official notice that scanning is well known in the art per U.S. Patent No.: 6,414,945 per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Regarding Claim 10, scanning for synch (The examiner takes official notice that scanning is well known in the art per U.S. Patent No.: 6,414,945 per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the mobile must be synchronized in order to lock on.)

Referring to Claim 11, Raith (U.S. Patent No.: 5,768,267) teaches the following limitations: Method (col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21); TDMA (col. 1 lines 5-67); receiving a first request (process neighbor lists or first request per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21); receiving a 2nd request mutually exclusive (Assigned a PDCH or second request per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21); performing (Assigned a neighbor list or first request and assigned PDCH or second request per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21)

Raith does not expressly call for: perform but teaches receiving neighbor list as well as PDCH assignment per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the mobile stores or performs the neighbor lists and PDCH assignment in order for the invention to work.

In Addition:

Regarding Claim 12, scanning (The examiner takes official notice that scanning is well known in the art per U.S. Patent No.; 6,414,945 per col. 2 line 67)

Regarding Claim 13, camping on (col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Regarding Claim 15, store (180 per Fig 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the processing unit stores in order for the invention to work)

.Application/Control Number: 09/690,201

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding Claim 16, second control channel (The examiner takes official notice that a second control channel is well known in the art per U.S. Patent No.; 5,953,665 per Abstract or col. 1 line 1-col. 5 line 17)

Regarding Claim 17, 2nd control channel and neighbor list (The examiner takes official notice that a second control channel receiving neighbor lists is well known in the art per U.S. Patent No.; 5,953,665 per Abstract or col. 1 line 1-col. 5 line 17)

Regarding Claim 18, media operation (The applicant broadly claims "media operation". The examiner interprets assigning a PCDH channel as a media operation because is a packet channel per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Regarding Claim 19, send MP3 (It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to send MP3 over PCDH packet channel per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Referring to Claim 21, Raith (U.S. Patent No.: 5,768,267) teaches the following limitations: TDMA (col. 1 lines 5-67); wireless terminal (120 per Fig 4); housing (120 per Fig 4); transceiver (170 per Fig 4); antenna (120 per Fig 4); control circuit (180 per Fig 4) camping (col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21); stores (180 per Fig 4); performs mutually exclusive operations (180 per Fig 4 and col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Memory (180 per Fig 4)

Raith does not expressly call for: memory but teaches processing unit per Fig 4.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the memory would be in the processing unit or controller in order to store data in order for the invention to work.

In Addition:

Regarding Claim 22, control channel info # (upon activation an assigned DCCH with a # per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21).

Regarding Claim 23, neighbor channel info # (neighbor lists which inherently contain channel # per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21).

Regarding Claim 24, receive a request (Activated or request per col. 12 line 61-col 14 line 21). Regarding Claim 25, control channel info stored (It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the control information is stored in order for the invention to work per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Regarding Claim 28, scanning (The examiner takes official notice that scanning is well known in the art per U.S. Patent No.: 6,414,945 per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21)

Regarding Claim 29, scanning for synch (The examiner takes official notice that scanning is well known in the art per U.S. Patent No.: 6,414,945 per col. 12 line 61-col. 14 line 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the mobile must be synchronized in order to lock on.)

Art Unit: 2661

Claim Objections

5.0 Claims 6, 14, 20, 26-27, & 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The closest prior art is Raith (U.S. Patent No.: 5,768,267). Raith does not disclose either singularly or in combination anticipate the following claim limitations:

"wherein the operation to be performed comprises at least one of a voice activated dialing operation and a media playback operation" as claimed in **Claim 6**.

"wherein the first operation comprises performing a voice-activated dialing operation" as claimed in Claim 14,

"wherein the second operation to be performed comprises a radio frequency shutdown operation that disables radio frequency portions of the wireless terminal" as claimed in **Claim 20**.

"wherein an operation to be performed comprises at least one of a voice activated dialing operation and a media playback operation" as claimed in **Claim 26**.

"wherein a n operation to be performed comprises a transceiver shutdown operation that disables the transceiver circuit" as claimed in **Claim 27**.

"wherein the operation to be performed comprises at least one of a voice activated dialing operation and a media playback operation" as claimed in **Claim 35**.

Conclusions

6.0 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W Wilson whose telephone number is 703/305-4102. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,201

Art Unit: 2661

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W Wilson

Examiner Art Unit 2661

RWW February 19, 2004

> EMETEN TELLINGULLUN